# Common Fixed Point Theorem under Complex Valued Metric Space

Manoj Solanki<sup>1</sup> and Ramakant Bhardwaj<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sadhu Vaswani College(Auto.) Sant Hirdaram Nagar, Bhopal (M.P.) <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, TIT, Bhopal (M.P.) E-mail: <sup>1</sup>solomanoj14@gmail.com

**Abstract**—In this paper, I prove the common fixed point theorem for a pair of mappings Satisfying rational type contractive conditions in frame work of complex valued metric Space. The prove results generalize and extended some of the know result in the literature.

**Keywords:-** contractive type mapping, complex valued metric space, common fixed point Rational contraction

AMS Classification:- 54H 25, 47 H 10

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

One of the main area in the study of fixed point is metric fixed point theory, where the major and classical result was given prove by Banach [1], known as the Banach contraction principle, states that if (X,d) is a compete metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction mapping i.e.

 $d(x, y) \le \alpha d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha$  is non negative number s.t.  $\alpha < 1$ . then T has a unique fixed point.

In 2011, Azam, A & fisher, B & Khan M. [2] introduced the complex valued metric space & Verma & Pathak [3]:,solanki et.al.[5], sintunavarat, cho., Kumam [4]: Chandok, S. [6,7,10]: Jungek, LG [11]; Sessa S [8]; Wintunavarat W [13]; Fouz kard F [12]; Nashin Inded Hashn [9] and many others. In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for two pair of weakly mapping satisfy a contractive condition of rational type.

Theorem 1.1 let T be a continuous self map defined on a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose that T satisfies the following contractive condition.

 $d(Tx,Ty) \le \alpha \frac{d(y,Ty),d(x,Tx)}{d(x,y)} + \beta d(x,y), \quad \forall x,y \in X, x \neq y, \dots \dots 1.1. a \text{ where } , \beta \in [0,1), s.t. \alpha + \beta < 1. \text{ Then T has a unique fixed point}$ 

Also, in 1975 Dass & Gupta prove that every continues self map on the metric space (X, d) which satisfies the

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)[1+d(x, Tx)]}{1+d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \dots, 1.1. b \text{ where}$$

 $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1), s.t. \alpha + \beta < 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 [2] let c be the set of complex number and let  $z_1, z_2, \in C$  as follows:

$$Z_1 \leq Z_2 \Leftrightarrow Re(Z_1) \leq Re(Z_2), Im(Z_1) \leq Im(Z_2) \dots 2.1.a$$

Consequently  $Z_1 \leq Z_2$  if one of the following condition is satisfied

a) 
$$Re(Z_1) = Re(Z_2), Im(Z_1) < Im(Z_2)$$
  
b)  $Re(Z_1) < Re(Z_2), Im(Z_1) = Im(Z_2)$   
c)  $Re(Z_1) < Re(Z_2), Im(Z_1) < Im(Z_2)$   
d)  $Re(Z_1) = Re(Z_2), Im(Z_1) = Im(Z_2)$ 

In particular  $Z_1 \not\subseteq Z_2$  if  $Z_1 \neq Z_2$  and one of (a), (b),(c) is satisfies and if  $Z_1 < Z_2$ 

then only (c) is satisfied that

1.  $a, b \in R \text{ and } a \leq b \Rightarrow aZ \leq bZ \forall Z \in C$ 2.  $0 \leq Z_1 \leq Z_2 \Rightarrow |Z_1| < |Z_2|$ 3.  $Z_1 \leq Z_2 \text{ and } Z_2 < Z_3 \Rightarrow Z_1 < Z_3$ 

Definition 2.2 Let X be a non-empty set, & C be the set at complex numbers suppose that the mapping d:  $X \times X \rightarrow C$  satisfies the following conditions

(i) 
$$0 \le d(x, y) \forall x, y \in X \& d(x, y) =$$
  
0 *iff*  $x = y$   
(ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \forall x, y \in X$   
(iii)  $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(z, y) \forall x, y \in X$ 

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Definition 2.3 Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converge to x iff

$$\begin{vmatrix} d(x_n,x) \end{vmatrix} \to 0$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ 

Definition 2.4 Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a cauchy sequence iff

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \Big| \to 0$$
 as  $n \to \infty$  where  $m \in N$ .

## 3. MAIN RESULT :

Theorem 3.1 Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space and let the mapping  $F, G : X \to X$ satisfies the condition.

$$d(Fx, Gy) \le \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Fx)d(x, Gy) + d(y, Gy)d(y, Fx)}{d(x, y)} + \frac{\gamma \frac{d(x, Fx)d(y, Gy)}{d(x, y)}}{d(x, y)} + \frac{\delta[d(Gy, x) + \delta[d(Gy, x) + (Fx, y)]}{(Fx, y)]}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  s. t.  $x \neq y$ ,  $d(x, y) \neq 0$  where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  are non negative reals with  $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$  or d(Fx, Gy) = 0 If d(x, y) = 0. Then F & G have a unique common fixed points.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be on a arbitrary point in X and define  $x_{2k+1} = F x_{2k}$ ;

$$\begin{split} x_{2k+2} &= Gx_{2k+1} \text{ where } k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots \text{ Then} \\ d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &= d(Fx_{2k}, Gx_{2k+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad d(x_{2k}, Fx_{2k}) d(x_{2k}, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1}) d \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{(x_{2k}, Fx_{2k}) d(x_{2k+1}, Fx_{2k})}{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x_{2k}, Fx_{2k}) d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})}{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad + \delta [d(Gx_{2k+1}, x_{2k}) + (Fx_{2k}, x_{2k+1})] \\ d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &\leq \alpha d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + \\ \beta \frac{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} + \\ \gamma \frac{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \\ &= \alpha d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + \beta d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}) + \gamma d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + \\ \delta d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k}) \\ &= (\alpha + \beta + \delta) d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + (\beta + \gamma + \delta) d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\ d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &\leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta)}{1 - (\beta + \gamma + \delta)} d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \end{split}$$

So that

$$d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \Big| \leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta)}{1 - (\beta + \gamma + \delta)} \Big| d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \Big|$$

As by triangle inequality

$$d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \Big| \leq \Big| d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k}) \Big| + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}) \Big|$$

similarly :

$$d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+2}) = d(Fx_{2k+2}, Gx_{2k+1})$$

 $(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) +$ α  $\beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+2}, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+2}, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+2}, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+2}, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})}{4} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_$  $d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})$  $\gamma \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, Fx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})}{d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})} + \delta[+d(Gx_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) +$  $d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})$  $(Fx_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})]$ 

$$= ad(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + \beta \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+3})}{d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})} + \gamma \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})} + \delta[+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+2}) + (x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})] d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+2}) \le (\alpha + \beta + \delta)d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + (\beta + \gamma)d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+2}) \le \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta)}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} (d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})) \\$$
so that  $| d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+2}) | \le \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta)}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} | (d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}))$ 

As by triangle inequality

$$\begin{vmatrix} d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) &\leq | d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) | + \\ | d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+3}) \\ \text{so that} &| d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+2}) &\leq s | (d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) \text{ where} \\ s &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta)}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &\leq s & d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ so that \\ for any \\ m > n \\ As by triangle inequality \\ \end{vmatrix}$$

This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete, there exists some  $v \in X$  such that  $s_n \to v$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

suppose on the contrary that  $v \neq Fv$ , so that d(v, Fv) = Z > 0.

Now 
$$d(v, Fv) = Z \le d(v, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k+2}, Fv)$$
  
 $\le d(v, x_{2k+2}) + d(Gx_{2k+1}, Fv)$   
 $\le d(v, x_{2k+2}) + ad(v, x_{2k+1}) + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Gx_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \beta \frac{d(v, Fv)d(v, Fv)}{d(v, Fv)} + \beta \frac$ 

$$\gamma \frac{d(v, x_{2k+1})}{d(v, Fv)d(x_{2k+1}, Gx_{2k+1})} + \delta[d(Gx_{2k+1}, v) + (Fv, x_{2k+1})]$$

 $\begin{aligned} &d(v, x_{2k+2}) + \alpha d(v, x_{2k+1}) + \\ &\beta \frac{Zd(v, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, Fv)}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \gamma \frac{Zd(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{d(v, x_{2k+1})} + \\ &\delta [d(x_{2k+2}, v) + (Fv, x_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$ 

so that

$$\begin{vmatrix} d(v, Fv) &= | Z | \leq | d(v, x_{2k+2}) | + \\ \alpha & | d(v, x_{2k+1}) | + \\ \beta & \frac{| Z | | d(v, x_{2k+2}) | + | d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) | | d(x_{2k+1}, Fv) |}{| d(v, x_{2k+1}) |} \\ \gamma & \frac{| Z | | d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) |}{| d(v, x_{2k+1}) |} + \delta \left[ | d(x_{2k+2}, v) | + \\ | d(Fv, x_{2k+1}) | \right] \\ \text{which on mapping } n \to \infty$$

Therefore

$$d(v, Fv) = 0$$

which is contradiction so that v = Fv

similarly we show that v = Gv

Thus implies that v is fixed point

## Uniqueness:

Let w in X be another common fixed point of F & G. Then

$$d(v,w) = d(Fv,Fw)$$

$$\leq \alpha d(v,w) + \beta \frac{d(v,Fv)d(v,Gw) + d(w,Gw)d(w,Fv)}{d(v,w)}$$

$$+ \gamma \frac{d(v,Fv)d(w,Gw)}{d(v,w)} + \delta[d(Gw,v) + (Fv,w)]$$

$$= \alpha d(v,w) + \beta d(w,Gw) + \delta [d(Gw,v) + d(v,w)]$$

$$d(v,w) \leq (\alpha + 2\delta)d(v,w)$$

 $\begin{vmatrix} d(v,w) \end{vmatrix} \le (\rho)d(v,w)$ where  $\rho = \alpha + 2\delta < 1$  so v = w, which proves the uniqueness of common fixed point.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have established common fixed point result for Jaggi Type & Chatterjee Type contractive mapping in the context of complex valued metric space.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my whole hearted thanks to Dr. Abha Tenguriya Professor and Dr. R.S. Chandel Professor for her valuable guidance constant encouragement.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Banach, S. Surles Operation dans les ensembles abstraits et, leur application aux equation integrals, fund math, 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [2] Azam, A., B. Fisher, B. and Khan, M. "Common Fixed Numerical Functional Analysis and optimization." Vol. 32, No. 3, PP 243-253, 2011.
- [3] Verma, R. K. and Pathak H. K.. "Common Fixed Point Theorems using properly (E. A.,) in complex valued metric space." Thai Journal of Mathematics.
- [4] Sintunavart, W., Cho, Y. J. and Kumam, P. "Urysohn integral equations approach by common fixed point in complex valued metric space." Advances in Difference Equation, Vol. 2013 Article 49, 2013.
- [5] Solanki M. & Bohre A., " common fixed point theorem in complex valued metric space ", Mathematical Science International Research Journal, vol. – 3, issue -2,(2014),655-657
- [6] Chandok, S. "Common fixed points, invariant approximation and generalized weak contractions." "International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Science, Vol. 2012, Article ID 102980." Pages 2012.
- [7] Chandok, S. "Some Common Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized nonlinear contractive mappings." Computers & Mathematics with Application Vol. 62, No. 10 PP 3692-3699, 2011.

- [8] Sessa, S. "On a Weak Commutatively Condition of Mappins in Fixed Point Considerations." Institute Mathematique Publications Vol. 32, No. 46, PP 149-153, 1982.
- [9] Nashine, H. K. ; Imdad, M. ; Hasan, M. "Common Fixed Point Theorems Under Rational Contractions in Complex Valued Metric Spaces" "Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications" 7 (2014), 42-50.
- [10] Chandok S.; Khan, M. S.; and Rao, K. P. R. "Some Coupled Common Fixed Point Theorems for a Point of Mappings Satisfying a Contractive Condition of Rational Type Without Monotonicity." "International Journal of Mathematical Analysis" Vol. 7, No. 9-12, PP 433-440, 2013.
- [11] Jung, K. G. "Compatible Mapping and Common Fixed Points." International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Science, Vol. 9, No. 4, PP 771-779, 1986.
- [12] Rouzkand, F.; Imdad, M. "Some Common Fixed Point Theorem on Complex Valued Metric Space Comp. Math Applls" 64 (2012), 1866-1874.
- [13] Sintunavarat, W., Kumman, P. "Generalized Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued Metric Space and Applications." J. Inequalities Appl. 2012(11 Page).
- [14] Manoj solanki .A. Bohre, Ramakant Bhardwaj, "Some common fixed point theorem under rational expression in cone metric space", APCMET-2014 conference proceedings,organized by Krishi Sanskritiheld on 19-20 april 2014 at JNU New Delhi,p 218-227.